Notes on the Pamphlets of The Spelling Society.

SSS pamphlets 1 to 7 had, inside the front cover, progressively longer versions of <u>Some</u> <u>Opinions</u>, sometimes in traditional spelling and sometimes in New Spelling (Nue Speling or NS).

Pamphlets 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 were written in Nue Speling.

Pamphlet 8 was written in Nue Speling and the reprint in Traditional Orthography. The two versions are shown here, side by side.

The <u>Alfabetikal List of dhe Nue Speling</u> was included in Pamphlets 6 and 7 to aid in the use of NS.

Pamphlet 3 was reprinted, with comments and pictures, in Journal 27 2000/1.

<u>Minutes for 1912</u> report that the committee asked H G Wells for permission to publish one of his short stories in revised spelling. This was granted and the committee authorised the expenditure of £15 for 5,000 copies, presumably of The Star. H G Wells then became an SSS Vice President.

From 1992, SSS committee required new schemes published as <u>Personal Views</u> to include the first paragraph of The Star. 18 versions are presented side by side for comparison in <u>The Star</u> <u>page</u>. There are further versions on the <u>Wyrdplay web</u>.

The following pamphlets were listed as published, but we do not have copies.

Harrison, M, Dhe Litl Red Hen (an infant reeder in Nue Speling),

Other titles in same series: Readers *Dhe Raelwae Enjin, Mie Bizy Dae*;

Story Books Sinderela, Dhe Three Baers, Dhe Enchaanted Hors,

Sindbad dhe Saelor, Dhe Sleeping Buety, Ueliseez and Sursee, Sorab and Rustum.

Shaekspeer's *Hamlet* A Vurshon in Nue Spelling, bie P. A. D. MacCarthy. 123pp.

Dhe Star. Bie H. G. Wells. Edishon in Nue Spelling. 21pp.

Views on Spelling Reform (essays by Ripman W, Hunter Sir M, Harrison M, Welldon Bishop,

Abraham B W, Cox H, Dewey G, Hunter, Sir G), 1944, 30pp.

This must be almost the same as the 1930 Essays, which is available.

A Short Account of New Spelling, 1940, 8pp.

A Specimen of the New Spelling - a revue of sum objekshonz to a chaenj in dhe oeld or prezent speling, c.1936, 8pp. This leaflet was Chapter 7 of the 1940 New Spelling and Chapter 9 of the 1948 edition.

Some Opinions

SSS pamphlets 1 to 7 had a version of this text, inside the front cover, sometimes in traditional spelling and sometimes in New Spelling.

Some Opinions	Sum Opinyonz
The old fallacy that phonetic spelling destroys	Dhe oeld falasy dhat fonetik speling destroiz
etymology and the history of the language is	etimolojy and dhe history ov dhe langgwej iz
hardly consistent with the fact that all the logical	hardly konsistent widh dhe fakt dhat aul
experts agree in regarding unphonetic spelling	filolojikal eksperts agree in regarding unfonetic
as a monstrous absurdity both from a scientific	speling az the monstrus absurdity boeth from a
and from a practical point of view.	sientifik and from a praktikal point ov vue.
- Dr. HENRY SWEET.	- Dr. HENRY SWEET.
No one can possibly be in a position to judge as	Noe wun kan posibly be in a pozishon to juj as
to the extent to which our spelling ought to be	to dhe ekstent to which our speling aut to be
conformed (if at all) to that of Greek and Latin -	konformd (if at aul) to dhat ov Greek and Latin -
for this is what supporters of the (so-called)	for dhis iz whot sporterz ov dhe (soe kauld)
etymological spelling really mean - until he has	etimolojikal speling realy meen - until he haz
first made himself acquainted with the history of	furst maed himself akwaented widh dhe <i>history</i>
our spelling and of our language. The plain	ov our speling and ov our langgwej. Dhe plaen
question is simply this - how came we to spell	kwestyon iz simply dhis - hou kaem we to spel
as we do, and how is it that the written symbol	az we duu, and hou iz it dhat dhe riten simbol so
so frequently gives a totally false impression of	freekwently givz a toetaly fauls impreshon ov
the true sound of the spoken word? Until this	dhe truu sound ov dhe spoeken wurd? Until dhis
question has been more or less considered, it is	kwestyon haz been mor or les konsiderd, it iz
impossible to concede that a student can know	imposibl to konseed dhat a stuedent kan noe
what he's talking about, or can have any right to	whot he iz tauking about, or kan hav eny riet to
be heard. It is surely a national disgrace to us,	be hurd. It iz shuurly a nashonal disgraes to
to find that the wildest arguments concerning	words, to fiend dhat dhe wieldest arguements
English spelling and etymology are constantly	konsurning Inglish speling and etimolojy are
being used even by well educated persons,	konstantly being uezd eeven bie wel
whose ignorance of the early English	eduekaeted pursonz, huuz ignorans ov urly
pronunciation and of modern English phonetics	Inglish pronunsyaeshon and ov modern Inglish
is so complete that they have no suspicion	fonetiks iz soe kompleet dhat dhae hav noe
whatever of the amazing worthlessness of their	suspishon whotever ov dhe amaezing
ludicrous utterances.	wurthlesnes ov dhaer luedikrus uteransezs.
- Professor W. W. SKEAT.	- Professor W. W. SKEAT.
In the interests of etymology we ought to spell	In dhe interests ov etimolojy we aut to spel az
as we pronounce. To spell words as they <i>used</i>	we pronouns. To spel wurdz az dhae <i>uest</i> to be
to be pronounced is not etymological but	pronounst iz not etimolojikal, but
antiquarian.	antikwaeryan.

antiquarian. - Professor W. W. SKEAT.

- W. W. SKEAT.

There is no argument against phonetic spelling but the argument of ridicule, which is merely, in this case, the appeal from reason to prejudice. - HENRY LABOUCHERE.	Dhaer iz noe arguement agaenst fonetik speling but dhe arguement ov ridikuel, which iz meerly, in dhis kaes, dhe apeel from reezon to prejoodis. - HENRY LABOUCHERE.	
A poem certainly loses nothing in being well	A poëm surtenly luuzez nuthing in being wel red	
read or spoken. Only so can it attain to its real	or spoeken. Oenly soe kan it ataen to its real	
existence as poetry. Yet when it is read or	egzistens az poëtry. Yet when it iz red or	
spoken, what becomes of the spelling and of the	spoeken, whot bekumz ov dhe speling and ov	
sentiment which is alleged to be more or less	dhe sentiment which iz alejd to be mor or les	
dependent on the spelling?	dependent on dhe speling?	
- Professor C. H. PAGE.	- Profesor C. H. PAGE.	
He doth his native toung a good office, who	He doth his native toung a good office, who	
finds a way to spread her abroad, and make her	finds a way to spread her abroad, and make her	
better known to the world.	better known to the world.	
- JAMES HOWELL, 1662.	- JAMES HOWELL, 1662.	
In English I think we have got to a state of confusion that is really rather dangerous. That is to say, that I do not think it an exaggeration when certain foreigners say to me, as they often do, "We can read English, but we do not attempt to speak it, because that is like learning another language"	In Inglish I think we hav got to a staet ov konfuezhon dhat iz realy raadher daenjerus. Dhat iz to sae, dhat I duu not think it an egzajeraeshon when surten forrenerz sae to me, az dhae ofen duu, "We kan reed Inglish, but we duu not atempt to speek it, bekauz dhat iz liek lurning anudher langgwej"	
That is very important considering the vast	Dhat iz very important konsidering dhe vaast	
world importance of the English language, and	wurld importans ov dhe Inglish langgwej, and	
the great extent of ground over which it is	dhe graet ekstent ov ground oever which it iz	
spoken. We ought not to allow the path of the	spoeken. We aut not to alou dhe paath ov dhe	
English language to be cumbered by such an	Inglish langgwej to be kumberd bie such an	
enormous and unnecessary difficulty.	enormus and unnesesary difikulty.	
- Professor GILBERT MURRAY, 1926.	- Profesor GILBERT MURRAY, 1926.	
My Dictionary experience has already shown	Mie Dikshonary ekspeeryens haz aulredy shoen	
me that the ordinary appeals to etymology	me dhat dhe ordinary apeelz to Etimolojy	
against spelling reform utterly breakdown upon	agaenst speling reform uterly braek doun upon	
examination. The etymological information	egzaminaeshon. Dhe etimolojikal informaeshon	
supposed to be enshrined in the current spelling	supoezd to be enshriend in dhe kurrent speling	
is sapped at its very foundation by the fact that it	iz sapt at its very foundaeshon bie dhe fakt dhat	
is, in sober fact, oftener wrong than right, that it	it iz, in soeber fakt, ofener rong dhan riet, dhat it	
is oftener the fancies of pedants or sciologists of	iz ofener dhe fansiz ov pedants or siolists ov	
the Renascence, or monkish etymologers is still	dhe Renasens, or munkish etimolojerz ov stil	
earlier times that are thus preserved than the	urlyer tiemz, dhat ar dhus prezurvd, dhan dhe	
truth which alone is $eto\muo\lambda oyia$	truuth which aloen iz $e_{\tau \cup \mu o \lambda o \gamma i \alpha}$	
- Sir JAMES MURRAY, 1888.	- Sur JAMES MURRAY, 1888.	

ALFABETIKAL LIST OV DHE NUE SPELING

The Alfabetikal List of dhe Nue Speling was included in Pamphlets 6 and 7 to aid in the use of NS.

1110 / 11/00	elina ziel er ane rue opening hae meladea mi		
а	- at; karry	ngg	- an <i>gg</i> er
aa	- f <i>aa</i> dher	nk	- thi <i>nk</i>
ae	- ae <i>d,</i> ae <i>r</i>	0	- on, swon; sorry
ar	- st <i>ar</i> ; st <i>ar</i> y	oe	- goez, loer
au	- aul	oi	- <i>oi</i> l
b	- bat	oo [4]	- <i>g</i> oo <i>d, poo</i> t
С	- (widhout <i>h</i> , oenly in proper naemz)	or	- or <i>,</i> d <i>or</i> ; st <i>or</i> y
ch	- <i>ch</i> at	ou	- <i>ou</i> t,s <i>ou</i> r
d	- <i>d</i> ot	р	- <i>p</i> et
dh	- dhis	q	(oenly in proper naemz)
е	- end	r	- <i>r</i> at
ee [1]	- eel, kweer	S	- set
er [2]	- sist <i>er</i>	sh	- <i>sh</i> ut, ak <i>sh</i> on
f	- <i>f</i> ar	t	- <i>t</i> en
g	- <i>g</i> et	th	- <i>th</i> ink
h	- hat	u	- <i>u</i> s; h <i>u</i> rry
i	- <i>i</i> t	ue [5]	- h <i>ue</i> , k <i>ue</i> r
ie[3]	- f <i>ie</i> n, f <i>ie</i> r	ur	- b <i>ur</i> n, f <i>ur</i> st; st <i>ur</i> ing
j	- <i>j</i> et, lo <i>j</i>	uu	- f <i>uu</i> d, r <i>uu</i> l, p <i>uu</i> r
k	- <i>k</i> at, <i>k</i> iten	V	- van
kh	- Scotch lo <i>kh</i>	W	- <i>w</i> et
I	- <i>l</i> et	wh	- <i>wh</i> en
m	- <i>m</i> et	Х	(oenly in proper naemz)
n	- <i>n</i> et	У	 yet; empty, emptying
ng	- si <i>ng</i> , si <i>ng</i> er	Z	- zeel, goez
		zh	- vi <i>zh</i> on

[1] Reduest fomaz in *be, dhe, he, me, she, we*.

- [2] Speshal ues in wer.
- [3] Reduest form in *I*.
- [4] Reduest form in *to*.
- [5] Reduest form in U = you).

N.B. - Dhe sekond kompoenent ov *as, ee, ie, oe, ue* iz dropt befor anudher vouel: *saing, seing, liing, goïng, duing. R* iz dubld aafter short *a, o, u: karry, sorry, hurry.*